Autonomy of coupled quantum thermodynamic systems

Lajos Diósi

Wigner Center, Budapest

1 Apr 2014, Kibbutz Ma'agan, Israel





Acknowledgements go to:

Hungarian Scientific Research Fund under Grant No. 75129 EU COST Action MP1006 'Fundamental Problems in Quantum

- Quantum thermalization
- Coupling of local quantum thermalized systems
- Incoherent resonant coupling
- Incoherent resonant coupling: steady state
- Incoherent resonant coupling: heat flow
- Summary

Quantum thermalization

TLS in heat bath
$$\beta=1/k_BT$$

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}}{dt}=-i[\hat{H},\hat{\rho}]+\mathcal{L}\hat{\rho}$$

$$\hat{H}=\omega\,\hat{n},\qquad \hat{n}=\,\hat{a}^\dagger\,\hat{a},\qquad \{\,\hat{a},\,\hat{a}^\dagger\}=1.$$

Thermalizer:

$$\mathcal{L}\hat{
ho} = \Gamma(\hat{a}\hat{
ho}\,\hat{a}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\{\,\hat{n},\hat{
ho}\}) + \Gamma e^{-eta\omega}(\,\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{
ho}\,\hat{a} - \frac{1}{2}\{1-\hat{n},\hat{
ho}\})$$

Stationary state:

$$\hat{
ho}^s = rac{1}{1 + e^{-eta \omega}} e^{-eta \, \hat{n}}$$

Current operator:

$$\hat{J} = \mathcal{L}^{\star} \hat{H} = -\Gamma \omega \, \hat{n} + \Gamma \omega e^{\beta \omega} (1 - \, \hat{n})$$

Current vanishes in steady state:

$$J=\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{J}\hat{\rho}^s)=0$$

Coupling of local quantum thermalized systems

TLS A in hot bath β_A , TLS B in cold bath $\beta_B > \beta_A$

$$rac{d\hat{
ho}_A}{dt} = -i[\hat{H}_A,\hat{
ho}_A] + \mathcal{L}_A\hat{
ho}_A, \qquad rac{d\hat{
ho}_B}{dt} = -i[\hat{H}_B,\hat{
ho}_B] + \mathcal{L}_B\hat{
ho}_B$$

Joint stationary state:

$$\hat{\rho}_{AB}^s = \hat{\rho}_A^s \hat{\rho}_B^s$$

Coupling:
$$\hat{K}_{AB} = \epsilon (\hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{b} + \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{a})$$

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}_{AB}}{dt} = -i[\hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_B + \hat{K}_{AB}, \hat{\rho}_{AB}] + (\mathcal{L}_A + \mathcal{L}_B)\hat{\rho}_{AB}$$

Levy-Kosloff arXiv:1402.3825:

- This equation is incorrect, even for weak coupling
- Its stationary state may violate 2nd Law
- Correct equation: joint thermalizer \mathcal{L}_{AB} instead of $\mathcal{L}_A + \mathcal{L}_B$
- \mathcal{L}_{AB} is intricate: autonomy of A and B is lost

Incoherent resonant coupling

My points:

- Revive autonomy of A and B
- It would persist for weak stochastic coupling
- Let's smash coherence of coupling
- ... and restrict to resonant coupling

Coupling:
$$\hat{K}_{AB} = \sqrt{\epsilon} \left(\xi(t) \, \hat{a}^{\dagger} \, \hat{b} + \xi^{\star}(t) \, \hat{b}^{\dagger} \, \hat{a} \right)$$

 $\xi = (w_1 + iw_2)/\sqrt{2}$ with standard white-noises w_1, w_2 In resonance $\omega_A = \omega_B$: $[\hat{K}_{AB}, \hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_B] = 0$

ance
$$\omega_A = \omega_B$$
. $[K_{AB}, H_A + H_B] = 0$

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}_{AB}}{dt} = -i[\hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_B, \hat{\rho}_{AB}] + (\mathcal{L}_A + \mathcal{L}_B + \mathcal{L}_{AB}^K)\hat{\rho}_{AB}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{AB}^{\mathcal{K}}\hat{
ho}=\epsilon\left(\,\hat{a}^{\dagger}\,\hat{b}\hat{
ho}_{AB}\,\hat{b}^{\dagger}\,\hat{a}+\,\hat{a}\,\hat{b}^{\dagger}\,\hat{
ho}_{AB}\,\hat{b}\,\hat{a}^{\dagger}-{}_{rac{1}{2}}\{\,\hat{n}_{A}+\hat{n}_{B}\!-\!2\,\hat{n}_{A}\,\hat{n}_{B},\hat{
ho}_{AB}\}
ight)$$

Incoherent resonant coupling: steady state

Full master equation:

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\hat{\rho}_{AB}}{dt} &= -i\omega \big[\,\hat{n}_{A} + \,\hat{n}_{B}, \hat{\rho}_{AB}\big] \\ &+ \Gamma_{A} \big(\,\hat{a}\hat{\rho}_{AB} \,\hat{a}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \big\{\,\hat{n}_{A}, \hat{\rho}_{AB}\big\}\big) + \Gamma_{A} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_{A}\omega} \big(\,\hat{a}^{\dagger}\,\hat{\rho}_{AB} \,\hat{a} - \frac{1}{2} \big\{1 - \,\hat{n}_{A}, \hat{\rho}_{AB}\big\}\big) \\ &+ \Gamma_{B} \big(\,\hat{b}\hat{\rho}_{AB} \,\hat{b}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \big\{\,\hat{n}_{B}, \hat{\rho}_{AB}\big\}\big) + \Gamma_{B} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_{B}\omega} \big(\,\hat{b}^{\dagger}\,\hat{\rho}_{AB} \,\hat{b} - \frac{1}{2} \big\{1 - \,\hat{n}_{B}, \hat{\rho}_{AB}\big\}\big) \\ &+ \epsilon \big(\,\hat{a}^{\dagger}\,\hat{b}\hat{\rho}_{AB} \,\hat{b}^{\dagger} \,\hat{a} + \hat{a}\,\hat{b}^{\dagger}\,\hat{\rho}_{AB} \,\hat{b}\,\hat{a}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \big\{\,\hat{n}_{A} + \,\hat{n}_{B} - 2\,\hat{n}_{A}\,\hat{n}_{B}, \hat{\rho}_{AB}\big\}\big) \end{split}$$

Take (for simplicity) $\Gamma_A = \Gamma_B = \Gamma \gg \epsilon$

$$\hat{\rho}_{AB}^{s} = \hat{\rho}_{A}^{s} \hat{\rho}_{B}^{s} - \frac{\epsilon}{\Gamma} \frac{D^{2} \hat{\rho}_{A}^{s} \hat{\rho}_{B}^{s} + \hat{D}_{A} \hat{D}_{B} + 2 \hat{D}_{A} + 2 \hat{D}_{B}}{(2 + e^{-\beta_{A}\omega} + e^{-\beta_{B}\omega})(1 + e^{-\beta_{A}\omega})(1 + e^{-\beta_{B}\omega})}$$

$$D=e^{-\omega\beta_A}-e^{-\omega\beta_B}, \quad \hat{D}_A=e^{-\omega\beta_A\,\hat{n}_A}-e^{-\omega\beta_B\,\hat{n}_A}, \quad \hat{D}_B=e^{-\omega\beta_B\,\hat{n}_B}-e^{-\omega\beta_A\,\hat{n}_B}$$

Incoherent resonant coupling: heat flow

Current operators:

$$\hat{J}_A = \mathcal{L}_A^{\star} \hat{H}_A = -\Gamma_A \hat{n}_A + \Gamma_A e^{-\beta_A \omega_A} (1 - \hat{n}_A)$$

$$\hat{J}_B = \mathcal{L}_B^{\star} \hat{H}_B = -\Gamma_B \hat{n}_B + \Gamma_B e^{-\beta_B \omega_B} (1 - \hat{n}_B)$$

Currents in steady state:
$$J_A^s={
m Tr}(~\hat{J}_A\hat{
ho}_{AB}^s)$$
 and $J_B^s={
m Tr}(~\hat{J}_B\hat{
ho}_{AB}^s)$ $J_A^s+J_B^s=0.$

In our case:

$$J_A^s = \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{J}_A \hat{
ho}_{AB}^s) = \epsilon \omega (e^{-\omega eta_A} - e^{-\omega eta_B})$$

 Γ cancels from J_A in lowest order in ϵ/Γ .

Heat flows from hot to cold baths, 2nd Law respected*.

1 Apr 2014, Kibbutz Ma'agan, Israel 7

Summary

- Autonomy of local Q-thermodynamic systems is lost for coherent coupling
- Autonomy of local Q-thermodynamic systems is preserved for incoherent coupling
- Resonant coupling seems also necessary for consistency
- Heat flows from hot to cold
- Proof is given for weak incoherent resonant coupling in special case $\Gamma_A = \Gamma_B$

